This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

78766-34 /aba

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

+613

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that I verily believe that I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or a joint inventor (if plural inventors are named below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

A SUB-HARMONIC MIXER

the specific	eation of wh	ich	'
	\boxtimes	is attached here was filed on	
		was filed on	ation Serial No.
and (if ap	plicable) wa	s amended on	
I hereby s	itate that I h	ave reviewed and ed by any amendn	understand the contents of the above identified specification, including ent referred to above.
•			mation known to me which is material to the patentability of this Code of Federal Regulations, §§1.56(a) and (b), which state:

- "(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application,
 - the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

-2-

- Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not currulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and **(b)**
 - It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability."

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 United States Code, §119 and/or §365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate filed by me or my assignee disclosing the subject matter claimed in this application and having a filing date (1) before that of the application on which priority is claimed, or (2) if no priority claimed, before the filing of this application:

PRIOR FOREIGN APPLICATION(S)

Date First Date Paterited Laid-open or Filing Date Published or Granted (Day/Month/Year) Country Number

Priority Claimed?

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 United States Code, §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

Application Number

Filing Date

60/428,684

November 25, 2002

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Pederal Regulations, §1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

PRIOR U.S. OR PCT APPLICATION(S)

Filing Date Application No. (day/month/year)

> ٠, ų, ·

(pending, abandoned, granted)

- 3 -

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that wilful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such wilful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

I hereby appoint the following patent agents with full power of substitution, association and revocation to prosecute this application and/or international application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

A. DAVID MORROW (Reg. No. 28816)
ROBERT D. GOULD (Reg. No. 27523)
THOMAS R. KELLY (Reg. No. 29244)
TOKUO HIRAMA (Reg. No. 32551)
KOHII SUZUKI (Reg. No. 44467)
R. JOHN HALEY (Reg. No. 29502)
THUY HUONG NGUYEN (Reg. No. 47336)
DAVID E. SCHWARTZ (Reg. No. 48,211)
STEVEN B. GARLAND (Reg. No. 50,003)
COLIN B. INGRAM (Reg. No. P-52,437)
DAPHNE L. MARAVEI (Reg. No. 53,881)
DAVID M. WALTERS (Reg. No. 53,904)

JAMES McGRAW (Reg. No. 28168)
JOHN BOCHNOVIC (Reg. No. 29229)
JOY D. MORROW (Reg. No. 30911)
R. ALLAN BRETT (Reg. No. 40476)
PHILIP D. LAPIN (Reg. No. 44443)
CHRISTINE N. GENGE (Reg. No. 45405)
KEVIN K. GRAHAM (Reg. No. 47365)
ELLIOTT S. SIMCOE (Reg. No. 50,010)
SOHRAB SABET (Reg. No. 30,723)
ELIZABETH A. HAYES-QUEBEC (Reg. No. 48,305)
DAPHNE C. RIPLEY (Reg. No. 53,882)
RALPH A. DOWELL (Reg. No. 26,868)

PLEASE SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO: SMART & BIGGAR

1)

P.O. Box 2999, Station D 900-55 Metcalfe Street Ottawa, Ontario Canada K1P 5Y6 Tel: (613) 232-2486 Fax: (613) 232-8440

CUSTOMER NO. 07380

1) INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE: Date:	
Inventor's Name: ANTONIO (Middle)	ROMANO (Family Name)
Country of Citizenship: CANADIAN	
Residence: Ashton, Ontario, Canada (City, Province, Country)	
Post Office Address: 21 Sawgrass Circle, Ashton, Ontario, KOA 1B0, C	Canada